

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RI	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISC	COVERY (CI)	
	E-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAIN	NT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0730076 DATE	: <u>2/21/2007</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPAR	T:
FACILITY NAME: NORT	TH FLORIDA ANIMAL HOS	SPITAL		
FACILITY LOCATION:	2701 North Monroe St.			
	TALLAHASSEE 3230)3		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIA	L: RANDY FULLERTON	P	HONE: (850)385-5	5141
CONTACT NAME:		P	HONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL!	EMENT PERIOD: 12/		/21/2007 date)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMP		FICANT Non-COM	IPLIANCE
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECO</u> (check ☑ appropriate b		VIEN I S – Kule 62-296.4	101. F.A.C.	
			,	
62-297, F.A.C.)?	tionable odor(s) detected? ons test conducted during thistte individual source compliar	site visit according to El	PA Method 9 (Ref.:	Chapter ☐Yes ☒ No
62-297, F.A.C.)?3. In order to demonstra days prior to the AGI	ons test conducted during this te individual source compliar P Notification form submissio	site visit according to El	PA Method 9 (Ref.: 6	ChapterYes No ducted 60 ary date? (Rule
 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrated days prior to the AGI 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A. 4. In order to demonstrated completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxide 	ons test conducted during this te individual source compliant Notification form submission C.C.) te individual source compliant days prior to the AGP Notifice (CO) emissions equal to or be	site visit according to El- nce, was an annual visible on, and within 60 days pronce were the remaining a cation form submission?	PA Method 9 (Ref.: 0	Chapter
 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrated days prior to the AGI 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A. 4. In order to demonstrated completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxided volume, dry basis, construction 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-b) Oxygen test perforcing construction. 	ons test conducted during this te individual source compliar Notification form submission A.C.) te individual source compliar days prior to the AGP Notifice (CO) emissions equal to or be orrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly 297, F.A.C.)? ormed according to EPA Meth emissions test with results equals	site visit according to Elemente, was an annual visible on, and within 60 days proceed were the remaining a cation form submission? Delow the requirements of y average basis and tested and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-2 qual to or below the requirements of the control o	e emissions test concior to each anniversa pplicable standards (Rule 62-210.300(4 f 100 parts per milliod according to EPA	Chapter
 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrated days prior to the AGI 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A. 4. In order to demonstrated within 60 a) Carbon Monoxided volume, dry basis, considered to 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-b) Oxygen test perforcon Particulate matter dry standard cubic for (Ref.: Chapter62-297 	ons test conducted during this te individual source compliant P Notification form submission A.C.) te individual source compliant days prior to the AGP Notification form submission (CO) emissions equal to or borrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly 297, F.A.C.)? rmed according to EPA Meth emissions test with results equal to (ft ³) of flue gas, corrected to T, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to Elemente, was an annual visible on, and within 60 days proceed were the remaining a cation form submission? Delow the requirements of y average basis and tested according to the requirements of the control o	e emissions test concior to each anniversa- pplicable standards ((Rule 62-210.300(4 of 100 parts per millid according to EPA Methodological parts per millid parts per millid according to EPA Methodological parts per millid pa	Chapter
62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrated days prior to the AGI 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A. 4. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxided volume, dry basis, construction 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-b) Oxygen test perforcing c) Particulate matter dry standard cubic for (Ref.: Chapter 62-297) 5. Was all emissions test capacity? 6. Was CO & PM comp 7. Was the Department of the AGI for the AGI	ons test conducted during this te individual source compliant P Notification form submission A.C.) te individual source compliant days prior to the AGP Notifice (CO) emissions equal to or borrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly 297, F.A.C.)? rmed according to EPA Meth emissions test with results equal of (ft ³)of flue gas, corrected to	site visit according to Elemente, was an annual visible on, and within 60 days proceed were the remaining a cation form submission? Delow the requirements of y average basis and tested according to the date of the last for to the date of the last forms.	e emissions test comior to each anniversal pplicable standards of (Rule 62-210.300(4 of 100 parts per millid according to EPA of the EPA of the EPA method accurate recommendation an identical cremater an identical cremater and compliance test	Chapter Yes No ducted 60 Yes No ary date? (Rule Yes No testing Yes No t), F.A.C.) Yes No on by Method No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
(check ✓ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record t	emperatu	res in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?		□ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the records the follo		_
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	□Yes	No No
2) Monitoring device		□ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements		□ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	Yes	□ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	□ No
6) Adjustments	Yes	□ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	□Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to		
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time.	ıe.	
@ 1800° F?	Yes	□ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	103	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	$\Box v_{es}$	□ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	n I Co	
process begins in the primary chamber?	 □Yes	□ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	1 cs	110
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	$\Box v_{ec}$	□ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they		
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of		
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes	□ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils	штсѕ	
thick?	Yes	□ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	□Yes	□ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	Yes	∐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes	∐ No □ No
		☐ 100
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duratic	∏Yes	□ Mo
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊥ res	☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to o In the case of new or modified equipment, where a required, has the owner submitted copies of all ope a) submitted within the 15 day required window for the content of the case of the content of the case o	ly different than that noted on the most the owner submit a new and complete 2-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or that a Department air construction permit operate the modified unit? a Department air construction permit was erator training certificates?	☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	No
Tracy White	2/21/2007		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspect	tion	
COMMENTS: INSPECTION COMMENTS:			
On February 21, 2007, the inspector arrived on the site and more crematory operator was not available, and Dr. Randy Fullerto but she did not know where the temperature records were kept Ms. Malone unlocked the incinerator building. The incinerator only 847 degrees around 1:30 P.M. The temperature recorder	on was in the middle of surgery. Ms. Malone tried opt. tor appeared to be in operation, but the temperature	ed to help the in	inspector,
was not known if a cremation was in progress.			
The inspector left the site.			
According to a Department computer records review, the last to be in-compliance.	t VE test compliance review was on November 1	.6, 2006 and a	ppeared
RECOMMENDATIONS:			
Please make allowances for having the temperature records reinspect the records on the next visit. If the records are not rea			attempt to